

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
FRIDAY, MARCH 6, 2009
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S035190**PEOPLE v. HOUSTON (ERIC
CHRISTOPHER)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel David H. Schwartz's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by July 31, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 4, 2009. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

S043520**PEOPLE v. POWELL (CARL
DEVON)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Neoma Kenwood's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by September 2, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 4, 2009. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

S081700**PEOPLE v. HARRIS (WILLIE
LEO)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Richard I. Targow's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by April 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 2, 2009. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S093756**PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS
(COREY LEIGH)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 4, 2009.

S104144**PEOPLE v. PEREZ, JR.,
(JOSEPH ANDREW)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to May 11, 2009.

S168586**DELTORO (STEVEN) ON
H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal response is extended to April 8, 2009.

S168047**STRAUSS (KAREN L.) v.
HORTON (MARK B.)/
(HOLLINGSWORTH)**

Order filed

The application of John C. Eastman, Dean of the Chapman University School of Law; Doy Henley, Chapman University Trustee; Timothy Busch, Member of the Chapman University School of Law Board of Visitors; Ronald D. Rotunda, The Doy and Dee Henley Chair and Distinguished Professor of Jurisprudence, Chapman University School of Law; and John Yoo, Fletcher Jones Distinguished Visiting Professor, Chapman University School of Law, in their individual capacities for leave to join in the amicus curiae brief filed by the Center for Constitutional Jurisprudence in support of interveners is hereby granted.

S168047**STRAUSS (KAREN L.) v.
HORTON (MARK B.)/
(HOLLINGSWORTH)**

Order filed

The legislative resolutions appended to the application of amici curiae John Emmanuel Domine, Bradley Eric Aouizerat, Betsy Jo Levine, and Lisa Lynn Brand seeking leave to apprise the court of the California Legislature's adoption of SR 7 and HR 5 on March 2, 2009, are accepted as a supplement to the amicus curiae brief previously filed by these amici curiae in these proceedings.

S168066**TYLER (ROBIN) v. STATE OF
CALIFORNIA/
(HOLLINGSWORTH)**

Order filed

The application of John C. Eastman, Dean of the Chapman University School of Law; Doy Henley, Chapman University Trustee; Timothy Busch, Member of the Chapman University School of Law Board of Visitors; Ronald D. Rotunda, The Doy and Dee Henley Chair and Distinguished Professor of Jurisprudence, Chapman University School of Law; and John Yoo, Fletcher Jones Distinguished Visiting Professor, Chapman University School of Law, in their individual capacities for leave to join in the amicus curiae brief filed by the Center for Constitutional Jurisprudence in support of interveners is hereby granted.

S168066**TYLER (ROBIN) v. STATE OF
CALIFORNIA/
(HOLLINGSWORTH)**

Order filed

The legislative resolutions appended to the application of amici curiae John Emmanuel Domine, Bradley Eric Aouizerat, Betsy Jo Levine, and Lisa Lynn Brand seeking leave to apprise the court of the California Legislature's adoption of SR 7 and HR 5 on March 2, 2009, are accepted as a supplement to the amicus curiae brief previously filed by these amici curiae in these proceedings.

S168078**SAN FRANCISCO, CITY &
COUNTY OF v. HORTON
(MARK B.)/
(HOLLINGSWORTH)**

Order filed

The application of John C. Eastman, Dean of the Chapman University School of Law; Doy Henley, Chapman University Trustee; Timothy Busch, Member of the Chapman University School of Law Board of Visitors; Ronald D. Rotunda, The Doy and Dee Henley Chair and Distinguished Professor of Jurisprudence, Chapman University School of Law; and John Yoo, Fletcher Jones Distinguished Visiting Professor, Chapman University School of Law, in their individual capacities for leave to join in the amicus curiae brief filed by the Center for Constitutional Jurisprudence in support of interveners is hereby granted.

S168078**SAN FRANCISCO, CITY &
COUNTY OF v. HORTON
(MARK B.)/
(HOLLINGSWORTH)**

Order filed

The legislative resolutions appended to the application of amici curiae John Emmanuel Domine, Bradley Eric Aouizerat, Betsy Jo Levine, and Lisa Lynn Brand seeking leave to apprise the court of the California Legislature's adoption of SR 7 and HR 5 on March 2, 2009, are accepted as a supplement to the amicus curiae brief previously filed by these amici curiae in these proceedings.

S169804**PEOPLE v. PASTRANA
(ANGELICA FAITH)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S170728**PEOPLE v. ADMAS (RONALD
LEE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.